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#### Abstract

The $k$-ary $n$-cube $Q_{n}^{k}$ is one of the most commonly used interconnection topologies for parallel and distributed computing systems. Let $f(n, m)$ be the minimum number of faulty nodes that make every $(n-m)$-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ faulty in $Q_{n}^{k}$ under node-failure models. In this paper, we prove that $f(n, 0)=1, f(n, 1)=k$ for odd $k \geq 3, f(n, n-1)=$ $k^{n-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$, and $k^{m} \leq f(n, m) \leq\binom{ n-1}{m-1} k^{m}-\binom{n-2}{m-1} k^{m-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
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## 1. Introduction

In many parallel computer systems, processors are connected based on an interconnection network. Popular instances of interconnection networks include hypercubes [2,5,7], star graphs [8,10,16], bubble-sort graphs [17], and $k$-ary $n$-cubes $[1,11,15,18]$. It is well known that an interconnection network is usually represented by an undirected simple graph $G$. We denote the node set and the link set of $G$ by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively.

In a large-scale multiprocessor system, failures of components are inevitable. Thus, fault tolerance of interconnection networks has become an important issue and has been extensively studied (see, for example, [1,2,5-8,10-12,15-18]). Fault tolerance of interconnection networks is usually measured by how much of the network structure is preserved in the presence of a given number of component failures. Obviously, in the presence of component failures, the complete interconnection network is not available. Under this consideration, Becker and Simon [2] investigated a problem of what is the maximum number of dimensions that would be lost if the network contained a given number of faulty processors or links. They studied $f_{H}(n, k)$, the minimum number of faults, necessary for an adversary to destroy each ( $n-k$ )-dimensional subcube in an $n$-dimensional hypercube. Latifi [10] proposed a similar natural question of how large a part of a subnetwork, a smaller network but with the same topological properties as the original one, is still available in the network in the presence of component failures. He presented a bound on $F_{S}(n, k)$, the number of faulty nodes to make every ( $n-k$ )-dimensional substar faulty in an $n$-dimensional star graph and also determined the exact value of $F_{S}(n, k)$ when $n$ is prime and $k=2$ or when $n-2 \leq k \leq n$. Wang and Yang [17] studied $F_{B}(n, k)$, the minimum number of faulty nodes to make every ( $n-k$ )-dimensional sub-bubble-sort graph faulty in an $n$-dimensional bubble-sort graph. They determined the exact value of $F_{B}(n, k)$ for some special cases and gave the lower and upper bounds on $F_{B}(n, k)$.

The interconnection network considered in this paper is the $k$-ary $n$-cube, denoted by $Q_{n}^{k}$, which has been proved to possess many attractive properties such as regularity, node transitivity and edge transitivity. Moreover, many interconnection networks can be viewed as the subclasses of $Q_{n}^{k}$, including the cycle, the torus and the hypercube. A number
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Fig. 1. $Q_{1}^{6}$ and $Q_{2}^{4}$.
of distributed memory multiprocessors have been built with a $k$-ary $n$-cube forming the underlying topology, such as the iWarp [14], the $J$-machine [13] and the Cray T3D [9]. In this paper, we are interested in the minimum number $f(n, m)$ of faulty nodes to make every $(n-m)$-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ faulty in $Q_{n}^{k}$. We prove that $f(n, 0)=1, f(n, 1)=k$ for odd $k \geq 3, f(n, n-1)=k^{n-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$, and $k^{m} \leq f(n, m) \leq\binom{ n-1}{m-1} k^{m}-\binom{n-2}{m-1} k^{m-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In the remainder of this paper, we follow [3] for the graph-theoretical terminology and notation not defined here.
The $k$-ary $n$-cube $Q_{n}^{k}(k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1)$ is a graph consisting of $k^{n}$ nodes, each of which has the form $u=u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{0}$, where $0 \leq u_{i} \leq k-1$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Two nodes $u=u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{0}$ and $v=v_{n-1} v_{n-2} \ldots v_{0}$ are adjacent if and only if there exists an integer $j, 0 \leq j \leq n-1$, such that $u_{j}=v_{j} \pm 1(\bmod k)$ and $u_{i}=v_{i}$, for every $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\} \backslash\{j\}$. Such a link $(u, v)$ is called a $j$-dimensional link. For clarity of presentation, we omit writing " $(\bmod k)$ " in similar expressions for the remainder of the paper. Note that each node has degree $2 n$ when $k \geq 3$, and $n$ when $k=2$. Obviously, $Q_{1}^{k}$ is a cycle of length $k$, and $Q_{n}^{2}$ is an $n$-dimensional hypercube. We say that $Q_{n}^{k}$ is divided into $Q_{n}^{k}[0], Q_{n}^{k}[1], \ldots, Q_{n}^{k}[k-1]$ (abbreviated as $Q[0], Q[1], \ldots, Q[k-1]$, if there are no ambiguities) along dimension $d$ for some $0 \leq d \leq n-1$, where $Q[p]$, for every $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, is a subgraph of $Q_{n}^{k}$ induced by $\left\{u=u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{d} \ldots u_{0} \in V\left(Q_{n}^{k}\right): \bar{u}_{d}=p\right\}$. It is clear that each $Q[p]$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n-1}^{k}$ for $0 \leq p \leq k-1$. $Q_{1}^{6}$ and $Q_{2}^{4}$ are shown in Fig. 1 .

Let $G$ and $H$ be two graphs. $G$ and $H$ are distinct if their node sets are different, and disjoint if they have no common node. The Cartesian product of $G$ and $H$, denoted by $G \times H$, is defined as follows: $V(G \times H)=V(G) \times V(H)$, two nodes $u_{1} u_{0}$ and $v_{1} v_{0}$ are adjacent in $G \times H$ if and only if $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in E(G)$ and $u_{0}=v_{0}$ or $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in E(H)$ and $u_{1}=v_{1}$. Let $C_{k}$ be a cycle of length $k$. Then the Cartesian product of $n C_{k}$ 's $C_{k} \times C_{k} \times \cdots \times C_{k}$ and $Q_{n}^{k}$ are obviously isomorphic. For two sets of nodes $X$ and $Y$ of $G$, denote by $[X, Y]$ the set of links with one end in $X$ and the other end in $Y$. Let $N_{k-1}$ be the set $\{0,1,2, \ldots, k-1\}$ for an arbitrary integer $k \geq 2$.

Given two integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$, for any integer $m(0 \leq m \leq n-1)$, let $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}$ be $m$ integers with $0 \leq i_{m}<$ $i_{m-1}<\cdots<i_{1} \leq n-1$ and let $a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}$. Denote $M=\left\{b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{i_{1}+1} a_{i_{1}} b_{i_{1}-1} b_{i_{1}-2} \ldots b_{i_{2}+1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} b_{i_{m}-1}\right.$ $\left.b_{i_{m}-2} \ldots b_{0}: b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, \ldots, b_{i_{1}+1}, b_{i_{1}-1}, b_{i_{1}-2}, \ldots, b_{i_{2}+1}, \ldots, b_{i_{m-1}}, b_{i_{m}-2}, \ldots, b_{0} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. In particular, $b_{n-1} b_{n-2} \ldots b_{i_{1}+1}$ and $b_{i_{m}-1} b_{i_{m}-2} \ldots b_{0}$ are empty strings if $i_{1}=n-1$ and $i_{m}=0$, respectively. Obviously, the subgraph of $Q_{n}^{k}$ induced by $M$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n-m}^{k}$. Let $X$ be a don't care symbol and let $X^{t}=\underbrace{X X \ldots X}_{t}$. For convenience of representation, we denote by an $n$-length string of symbols $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ the subgraph induced by $M$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$. For example, $X^{2} 02$ in $Q_{4}^{3}$ denote the $Q_{2}^{3}$ induced by $\{0002,0102,0202,1002,1102,1202,2002,2102,2202\}$. In particular, when $m=0$, $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ is just $X^{n}$, i.e., $Q_{n}^{k}$.

In addition, we can obtain the following lemma.
Lemma 1. $A Q_{n-m}^{k}$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$ can be uniquely denoted by $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
Proof. We first prove the following two claims.
Claim 1. Let $C$ be a cycle of length $k$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Then there exists $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $C$ contains only $i$-dimensional links for odd $k \geq 3$.

By contradiction. Suppose that $C$ contains $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}$-dimensional links, where $2 \leq s \leq n$ and $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s} \in$ $\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. For any $i_{t} \in\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$, we divide $Q_{n}^{k}$ into $Q[0], Q[1], \ldots, Q[k-1]$ along dimension $i_{t}$. If $[V(Q[i]), V(Q[i+1])] \cap E(C) \neq \emptyset$ for every $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, then there exist at least $k$ distinct $i_{t}$-dimensional links in $C$. For $i_{l} \in\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$ and $i_{l} \neq i_{t}$, since $C$ contains $i_{l}$-dimensional links, we have $|E(C)|>k$, a contradiction. Hence, there exists at least one element $i^{*} \in N_{k-1}$ such that $\left[V\left(Q\left[i^{*}\right]\right), V\left(Q\left[i^{*}+1\right]\right)\right] \cap E(C)=\emptyset$, say $i^{*}=k-1$. Note
that $|[V(Q[i]), V(Q[i+1])] \cap E(C)|$ must be even for every $i=0,1, \ldots, k-2$. So, the number of $i_{t}$-dimensional links in $C$ is even. Furthermore, by the arbitrariness of $i_{t},|E(C)|$ is even, contrary to the fact that $k$ is odd. The proof of Claim 1 is complete.
Claim 2. For any $Q_{s}^{k}(2 \leq s \leq n-1)$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$, there exists pairwise distinct $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $Q_{s}^{k}$ contains only $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$-dimensional links for odd $k \geq 3$.

Let $C=(0,1, \ldots, k-1,0)$ be a cycle of length $k$. Denote the Cartesian product of $s C$ 's $C \times \cdots \times C$ by $H^{*}$. For any two distinct nodes $u=u_{s-1} u_{s-2} \ldots u_{0}$ and $v=v_{s-1} v_{s-2} \ldots v_{0}$ in $V\left(H^{*}\right), u$ and $v$ are joined with a $j$-dimensional link if and only if there exists an integer $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\}$ such that $\left(u_{j}, v_{j}\right) \in E(C)$ and $u_{l}=v_{l}$ for every $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\} \backslash\{j\}$. For $i=0,1, \ldots, s-1$, let $C_{i}$ be a cycle of length $k$ in $H^{*}$, which contains only $i$-dimensional links, such that the node $00 \ldots 0 \in V\left(C_{i}\right)$. Now, for any $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, s-1\}$, if $H^{*}$ contains $i$-dimensional links, then there exists $C_{i}$ such that $C_{i}$ contains $i$-dimensional links. Note that $H^{*}$ and $Q_{s}^{k}$ are isomorphic. So there exist $s$ pairwise distinct cycles $H_{1}, H_{2}, \ldots, H_{s}$ of length $k$ in $Q_{s}^{k}$ such that if $Q_{s}^{k}$ contains $i$-dimensional links, then there exists an integer $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$ such that $H_{j}$ contains $i$-dimensional links. By Claim 1, there exists $j_{i} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $H_{i}$ contains only $j_{i}$-dimensional links for every $i=1,2, \ldots, s$. Hence, $Q_{s}^{k}$ contains only $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}$-dimensional links. By the definition of $Q_{s}^{k}$, there exists pairwise distinct $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that $Q_{s}^{k}$ contains $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}$-dimensional links. So $\left\{j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{s}\right\}=\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{s}\right\}$. The proof of Claim 2 is complete.

Next, we prove Lemma 1 by induction on $m$. When $m=0, Q_{n}^{k}$ can be uniquely denoted by $X^{n}$. Assume that Lemma 1 is true for $m$, where $m \geq 0$. We shall show that Lemma 1 holds for $m+1$. Note that a $Q_{n-(m+1)}^{k}$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$ must be in some $Q_{n-m}^{k}$. By the induction hypothesis, the $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ can be uniquely denoted by $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$. By Claim 2, there exists pairwise distinct $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-m-1}, j_{n-m} \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\} \backslash\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ such that $Q_{n-(m+1)}^{k}$ contains only $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-m-1}$-dimensional links and $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ contains only $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{n-m}$-dimensional links. The $Q_{n-(m+1)}^{k}$ can be obtained by dividing $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ along dimension $j_{n-m}$. So there exists $a \in N_{k-1}$ such that, for any node $u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{0} \in V\left(Q_{n-(m+1)}^{k}\right), u_{j_{n-m}}=a$. Let $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m+1}\right\}=\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{m}, j_{n-m}\right\}$ with $t_{1}>t_{2}>\cdots>t_{m+1}$. Thus the $Q_{n-(m+1)}^{k}$ can be uniquely denoted by $X^{n-1-t_{1}} a_{t_{1}} X^{t_{1}-t_{2}-1} a_{t_{2}} \ldots a_{t_{m+1}} X^{t_{m+1}}$. The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.
Lemma 2. There are $k^{m}$ disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's and $k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$ distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
Proof. This lemma is trivial when $m=0$. In the following, we consider the case $m \geq 1$.
For odd $k \geq 3$, by Lemma 1 , a $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$ can be uniquely denoted by $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{\overline{i_{1}}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$, where $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}$ are $m$ integers with $0 \leq i_{m}<i_{m-1}<\cdots<i_{1} \leq n-1$ and $a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}$. According to the values of $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}$, we divide all the distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$ into $\binom{n}{m}$ sets $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{\binom{n}{m}}$, where, for every $i \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\binom{n}{m}\right\}, A_{i}=$ $\left\{X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. Note that any two distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ and $X^{n-1-i_{1}} b_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} b_{i_{2}} \ldots b_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ in $A_{i}$ have no common node because there is some $l \in\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}\right\}$ such that $a_{l} \neq b_{l}$. So, $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ and $X^{n-1-i_{1}} b_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} b_{i_{2}} \ldots b_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ are disjoint. It follows that there are $\left|A_{i}\right|=k^{m}$ disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. For two distinct integers $i, j \in\left\{1,2, \ldots,\binom{n}{m}\right\}$, any two $Q_{n-m}$ 's $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ in $A_{i}$ and $X^{n-1-j_{1}} a_{j_{1}} X^{j_{1}-j_{2}-1} a_{j_{2}} \ldots a_{j_{m}} X^{j_{m}}$ in $A_{j}$ are distinct, since otherwise the node set of $X^{n-1-i_{1}} a_{i_{1}} X^{i_{1}-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}$ and the node set of $X^{n-1-j_{1}} a_{j_{1}} X^{j_{1}-j_{2}-1} a_{j_{2}} \ldots a_{j_{m}} X^{j_{m}}$ are the same, which yields that $i_{1}=j_{1}, i_{2}=j_{2}, \ldots, i_{m}=j_{m}$, a contradiction. Therefore, there are $\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n}{m}}\left|A_{i}\right|=k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$ distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. The proof is complete.

## 3. Enumeration of faulty nodes

### 3.1. The lower and upper bounds

Given two integers $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 2$, we are interested in finding $f(n, m)$, the minimum number of faulty nodes to make every $(n-m)$-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ faulty in $Q_{n}^{k}$, where $0 \leq m \leq n-1$.
Lemma 3. $k^{m} \leq f(n, m) \leq k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
Proof. By Lemma 2, $Q_{n}^{k}$ can be divided into $k^{m}$ disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's. To damage all the disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$, we need at least one faulty node for each $Q_{n-m}^{k}$, which yields that $f(n, m) \geq k^{m}$.

The upper bound on $f(n, m)$ can be obtained by making a node faulty in each of the $k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$ distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. This will render: $f(n, m) \leq k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$. Combining this with the fact that $f(n, m) \geq k^{m}$, the lemma follows.

The following theorem gives the exact value of $f(n, m)$ for some special cases.
Theorem 1. Let $Q_{n}^{k}$ be a $k$-ary $n$-cube. Then the following hold.
(1) $f(n, 0)=1$.

| $B_{1}^{00}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{2}^{00}$ | $B_{1}^{10}$ |  |
| $B_{2}^{10}$ |  |  |
| $B_{3}^{00}$ |  | $B_{1}^{10}$ <br> $\vdots$ <br> $B_{\delta}^{00}$ <br> $B_{\delta+1}^{00}$ |
|  | $\ldots$ | $B_{2}^{\delta 0}$ |
| $B_{\delta}^{10}$ |  |  |
| $B_{\delta+1}^{10}$ |  |  |

Q[0]


Q[1]

$Q[\delta]$

Fig. 2. The partition of $V\left(Q_{n}^{k}\right)$ and the selection of faulty nodes which are underlined (for convenience, denote $\delta=k-1$ ).
(2) $f(n, 1)=k$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
(3) $f(n, n-1)=k^{n-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.

Proof. (1) Since the failure of a single node will damage the $Q_{n}^{k}$, we have $f(n, 0) \leq 1$. Lemma 3 implies that $f(n, 0) \geq k^{0}=1$. So $f(n, 0)=1$.
(2) By Lemma 2, there are $n k$ distinct $Q_{n-1}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Note that, for every $i \in N_{k-1}$, the node $i i \ldots i$ will damage the $n Q_{n-1}^{k}$ 's $i X^{n-1}, X i X^{n-2}, X X i X^{n-3}, \ldots, X^{n-2} i X$ and $X^{n-1} i$. Therefore, the nodes $00 \ldots 0,11 \ldots 1, \ldots,(k-1)(k-1) \ldots(k-1)$ will damage every $Q_{n-1}^{k}$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$, which yields that $f(n, 1) \leq k$. Lemma 3 implies that $f(n, 0) \geq k$. So $f(n, 1)=k$.
(3) In the following, we consider the $Q_{n}^{k}$ for odd $k \geq 3$. If $n=1$, then, by $(1), f(n, n-1)=f(1,0)=1=k^{1-1}$. Next, assume that $n \geq 2$. We divide $Q_{n}^{k}$ into $Q[0], Q[1], \ldots, Q[k-1]$ along dimension 0 , where $Q[p]$, for every $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, is isomorphic to $Q_{n-1}^{k}$. Let $u^{p}=u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{1} p$ be a node in $Q[p]$, then the counterpart node of $u^{p}$ in $Q[q]$ is denoted by $u^{q}$, where $u^{q}=u_{n-1} u_{n-2} \ldots u_{1} q$. Let $S^{p} \subseteq V(Q[p])$, then the counterpart node set of $S^{p}$ in $Q[q]$ is denoted by $S^{q}$, where $S^{q}=\left\{x^{q}: x^{p} \in S^{p}\right\}$. Next, we prove a claim.

Claim. There exists a partition $A_{1}^{0}, A_{2}^{0}, \ldots, A_{k}^{0}$ of $V(Q[0])$ such that $A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-1}$ is the set of faulty nodes that damage all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime} \sin Q_{n}^{k}$, where $\left|A_{i}^{0}\right|=k^{n-2}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k, A_{i}^{0} \cap A_{j}^{0}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $i \neq j, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_{i}^{0}=V(Q[0])$, and $A_{i}^{p}$ is the counterpart node set of $A_{i}^{0}$ in $Q[p]$ for $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We prove the claim by induction on $n$. When $n=2$, let $A_{1}^{0}=\{00\}, A_{2}^{0}=\{10\}, \ldots, A_{k}^{0}=\{(k-1) 0\}$. Then $A_{2}^{1}=\{11\}$, $A_{3}^{2}=\{22\}, \ldots, A_{k}^{k-1}=\{(k-1)(k-1)\}$. Clearly, $A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-1}$ is the set of faulty nodes that damage all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime}$ s in $Q_{2}^{k}$ for odd $k \geq 3$. Assume that the claim is true for $n-1$, where $n \geq 3$. We shall show that the claim holds for $n$. Since $Q[0]$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n-1}^{k}$, we divide $Q[0]$ into $Q^{\prime}[0], Q^{\prime}[1], \ldots, Q^{\prime}[k-1]$ along dimension 1 , where $Q^{\prime}[p]$, for every $0 \leq p \leq k-1$, is induced by $\left\{u=u_{n-1} \ldots u_{1} u_{0} \in V\left(Q_{n}^{k}\right): u_{1}=p, u_{0}=0\right\}$. It is clear that each $Q^{\prime}[p]$ is isomorphic to $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ for $0 \leq p \leq k-1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a partition $B_{1}^{00}, B_{2}^{00}, \ldots, B_{k}^{00}$ of $V\left(Q^{\prime}[0]\right)$ such that $B_{1}^{00} \cup B_{2}^{10} \cup \ldots \cup B_{k}^{(k-1) 0}$ is the set of faulty nodes that damage all the $Q_{1}^{k}$ 's in $Q[0]$, where $\left|B_{i}^{00}\right|=k^{n-3}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k, B_{i}^{00} \cap B_{j}^{00}=\emptyset$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $i \neq j, \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{i}^{00}=V\left(Q^{\prime}[0]\right)$, and $B_{i}^{p 0}$ is the counterpart node set of $B_{i}^{00}$ in $Q^{\prime}[p]$ for $1 \leq p \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Denote the counterpart node set of $B_{i}^{q 0}$ in $Q[p]$ by $B_{i}^{q p}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq q \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq p \leq k-1$. See Fig. 2 for more details about the partition.

Let $A_{1}^{0}=B_{1}^{00} \cup B_{2}^{10} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k}^{(k-1) 0}, A_{2}^{0}=B_{2}^{00} \cup B_{3}^{10} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k}^{(k-2) 0} \cup B_{1}^{(k-1) 0}, \ldots, A_{k}^{0}=B_{k}^{00} \cup B_{1}^{10} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k-1}^{(k-1) 0}$. Then $A_{2}^{1}=B_{2}^{01} \cup B_{3}^{11} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k}^{(k-2) 1} \cup B_{1}^{(k-1) 1}, \ldots, A_{k}^{k-1}=B_{k}^{0(k-1)} \cup B_{1}^{1(k-1)} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k-1}^{(k-1)(k-1)}$. By Claim 1 in Lemma $1, Q_{1}^{k}$ in $Q_{n}^{k}$ contains only $i$-dimensional links for some $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Clearly, all the $Q_{1}^{k}$ ’s formed by 0 -dimensional links are damaged by the faulty nodes in $A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-1}$ (see Fig. 2). Next, we show that all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime}$ in $Q[1]$ are damaged by the faulty nodes in $A_{2}^{1}$. Define a mapping $\Psi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi: V(Q[0]) \rightarrow V(Q[1]) \\
& u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2} u_{1} u_{0} \mapsto u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2}\left(u_{1}-1\right)(\bmod k)\left(u_{0}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Psi$ is an isomorphism between $Q[0]$ and $Q[1]$. For $S \subseteq V(Q[0])$, denote $\Psi(S)=\bigcup_{u \in S}\{\Psi(u)\}$. Then $\Psi\left(B_{1}^{00}\right)=B_{1}^{(k-1) 1}$, $\Psi\left(B_{2}^{10}\right)=B_{2}^{01}, \ldots, \Psi\left(B_{k}^{(k-1) 0}\right)=B_{k}^{(k-2) 1}$. Since all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime}$ s in $Q[0]$ are damaged by the faulty nodes in $A_{1}^{0}=B_{1}^{00} \cup B_{2}^{10} \cup \ldots \cup$ $B_{k}^{(k-1) 0}$, we have that all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime}$ s in $Q[1]$ are damaged by the faulty nodes in $\Psi\left(A_{1}^{0}\right)=A_{2}^{1}=B_{2}^{01} \cup B_{3}^{11} \cup \cdots \cup B_{k}^{(k-2) 1} \cup B_{1}^{(k-1) 1}$.

Table 1
The faulty nodes and damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-1}$ (for convenience, denote $\delta=k-1$ ).

| Faulty nodes | Damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}, s$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $00 \ldots 0$ | $00 X^{n-2}, 0 X 0 X^{n-3}, \ldots, 0 X^{n-2} 0$ |  |
| $01 \ldots 1$ | $01 X^{n-2}, 0 X 1 X^{n-3}, \ldots, 0 X^{n-2} 1$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $0 \delta \ldots \delta$ | $0 \delta X^{n-2}, 0 X \delta X^{n-3}, \ldots$, | $\ldots X^{n-2} \delta$ |
| $10 \ldots 0$ | $10 X^{n-2}, 1 X 0 X^{n-3}, \ldots, 1 X^{n-2} 0$ |  |
| $11 \ldots 1$ | $11 X^{n-2}, 1 X 1 X^{n-3}, \ldots, 1 X^{n-2} 1$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $1 \delta \ldots \delta$ | $1 \delta X^{n-2}, 1 X \delta X^{n-3}, \ldots, 1 X^{n-2} \delta$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\delta 0 \ldots 0$ | $\delta 0 X^{n-2}, \delta X 0 X^{n-3}, \ldots, \delta X^{n-2} 0$ |  |
| $\delta 1 \ldots 1$ | $\delta 1 X^{n-2}, \delta X 1 X^{n-3}, \ldots, \delta X^{n-2} 1$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\delta \delta \ldots \delta$ | $\delta \delta X^{n-2}, \delta X \delta X^{n-3}, \ldots, \delta X^{n-2} \delta$ |  |

For every $2 \leq p \leq k-1$, define the mapping $\Psi_{p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{p}: V(Q[0]) \rightarrow V(Q[p]) \\
& u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2} u_{1} u_{0} \mapsto u_{n-1} \ldots u_{2}\left(u_{1}-p\right)(\bmod k)\left(u_{0}+p\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have that all the $Q_{1}^{k \prime} s$ in $Q[p]$ are damaged by the faulty nodes in $A_{p+1}^{p}$ for every $2 \leq p \leq k-1$. Therefore $A_{1}^{0} \cup A_{2}^{1} \cup \cdots \cup A_{k}^{k-1}$ is the set of faulty nodes that damage all the $Q_{1}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. The proof of the claim is complete.

By the claim, $f(n, n-1) \leq\left|A_{1}^{0}\right|+\left|A_{2}^{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|A_{k}^{k-1}\right|=k k^{n-2}=k^{n-1}$. Lemma 3 implies that $f(n, n-1) \geq k^{n-1}$. Therefore we have $f(n, n-1)=k^{n-1}$.

Note that for some special cases the exact value of $f(n, m)$ coincides with the lower bound. But there is a large gap between the lower bound $k^{m}$ and the upper bound $k^{m}\binom{n}{m}$ in Lemma 3. In the following, we shall improve the upper bound on $f(n, m)$.

### 3.2. A better upper bound on $f(n, m)$

We first present a better upper bound on $f(n, 2)$ by giving a set of faulty nodes that damage all $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$.
Lemma 4. Denote by $f(n, 2)$ the minimum number of faulty nodes that make every $(n-2)$-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ faulty in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Then $f(n, 2) \leq\binom{ n-1}{1} k^{2}-\binom{n-2}{1} k$ for odd $k \geq 3$.
Proof. By Lemma 2, there are $\binom{n}{2} k^{2}$ distinct $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Divide all the distinct $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$ into $n-1$ sets $A_{n-1}, A_{n-2} \ldots, A_{1}$, where, for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}, A_{i}=\left\{X^{n-1-i} a_{i} X^{i-j-1} a_{j} X^{j}: 0 \leq j \leq i-1\right.$ and $\left.a_{i}, a_{j} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. Clearly, $\left|A_{i}\right|=\binom{i}{1} k^{2}$ for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$. We first find $k^{2}$ faulty nodes to damage all the $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-1}$. See Table 1 for more details.

Secondly, we find $k^{2}$ faulty nodes to damage all the $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ ' $\mathrm{in} A_{n-2}$. See Table 2 for more details.
We proceed in a similar way until we find $k^{2}$ faulty nodes to damage all the $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $A_{1}$. See Table 3 for more details of $A_{1}$.

Note that the nodes $00 \ldots 0,11 \ldots 1, \ldots,(k-1)(k-1) \ldots(k-1)$ repeat $n-2$ times in the faulty nodes which we found. Except $00 \ldots 0,11 \ldots 1, \ldots,(k-1)(k-1) \ldots(k-1)$, the faulty nodes are pairwise distinct. Thus we found $(n-1) k^{2}-(n-2) k$ faulty nodes. Since $\left|A_{n-1}\right|+\left|A_{n-2}\right|+\cdots+\left|A_{1}\right|=(n-1) k^{2}+(n-2) k^{2}+\cdots+k^{2}=\binom{n}{2} k^{2}$, the faulty nodes which we found can damage all the $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$, which yields $f(n, 2) \leq(n-1) k^{2}-(n-2) k=\binom{n-1}{1} k^{2}-\binom{n-2}{1} k$. The proof is complete.

More generally, we give a better upper bound on $f(n, m)$. The following lemma is useful.
Lemma 5 ([4]). Let $s, t$ be two nonnegative integers with $s \geq t$. Then $\binom{s-1}{t-1}+\binom{s-2}{t-1}+\cdots+\binom{t-1}{t-1}=\binom{s}{t}$
Theorem 2. Denote by $f(n, m)$ the minimum number of faulty nodes that make every $(n-m)$-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ faulty in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Then $f(n, m) \leq\binom{ n-1}{m-1} k^{m}-\binom{n-2}{m-1} k^{m-1}$ for odd $k \geq 3$.

Table 2
The faulty nodes and damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-2}$ (for convenience, denote $\delta=k-1$ ).

| Faulty nodes | Damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's |
| :---: | :---: |
| $000 \ldots 0$ | $X 00 X^{n-3}, X 0 X 0 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 0 X^{n-3} 0$ |
| 001... 1 | $X 01 X^{n-3}, X 0 X 1 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 0 X^{n-3} 1$ |
| : |  |
| $00 \delta \ldots \delta$ | $X 0 \delta X^{n-3}, X 0 X \delta X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 0 X^{n-3} \delta$ |
| 110... 0 | $X 10 X^{n-3}, X 1 X 0 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 1 X^{n-3} 0$ |
| 111... 1 | $X 11 X^{n-3}, X 1 X 1 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 1 X^{n-3} 1$ |
| : | : |
| $11 \delta \ldots \delta$ | $X 1 \delta X^{n-3}, X 1 X \delta X^{n-4}, \ldots, X 1 X^{n-3} \delta$ |
| : | $\ldots$ |
| $\delta \delta 0 \ldots 0$ | $X \delta 0 X^{n-3}, X \delta X 0 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X \delta X^{n-3} 0$ |
| $\delta \delta 1 \ldots 1$ | $X \delta 1 X^{n-3}, X \delta X 1 X^{n-4}, \ldots, X \delta X^{n-3} 1$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |
| $\delta \delta \delta \ldots \delta$ | $X \delta \delta X^{n-3}, X \delta X \delta X^{n-4}, \ldots, X \delta X^{n-3} \delta$ |

Table 3
The faulty nodes and damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's in $A_{1}$ (for convenience, denote $\delta=k-1$ ).

| Faulty nodes | Damaged $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ 's |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \ldots 00$ | $X^{n-2} 00$ |
| $0 \ldots 01$ | $X^{n-2} 01$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $0 \ldots 0 \delta$ | $X^{n-2} 0 \delta$ |
| $1 \ldots 10$ | $X^{n-2} 10$ |
| $1 \ldots 11$ | $X^{n-2} 11$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $1 \ldots 1 \delta$ | $X^{n-2} 1 \delta$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\delta \ldots \delta 0$ | $X^{n-2} \delta 0$ |
| $\delta \ldots \delta 1$ | $X^{n-2} \delta 1$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\delta \ldots \delta \delta$ | $X^{n-2} \delta \delta$ |

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on $m$. By Lemma 4, the theorem holds for $m=2$. Assume the theorem holds for $m-1(m \geq 3)$, i.e., $f(n, m-1) \leq\binom{ n-1}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-2}{m-2} k^{m-2}$ for odd $k \geq 3$. We shall show that the theorem holds for $m$. By Lemma 2, there are $\binom{n}{m} k^{m}$ distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$. Divide all the distinct $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n}^{k}$ into $n-m+1$ sets $A_{n-1}, A_{n-2} \ldots, A_{m-1}$, where, for every $i \in\{m-1, \ldots, n-2, n-1\}$, $A_{i}=\left\{X^{n-1-i} a_{i} X^{i-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\cdots<\right.$ $i_{3}<i_{2} \leq i-1$ and $\left.a_{i}, a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|=\binom{i}{m-1} k^{m}$. By Lemma 5, $\left|A_{n-1}\right|+\left|A_{n-2}\right|+\cdots+\left|A_{m-1}\right|=$ $\left(\binom{n-1}{m-1}+\binom{n-2}{m-1}+\cdots+\binom{m-1}{m-1} k^{m}=\binom{n}{m} k^{m}\right.$. For every $i \in\{m-1, m, \ldots, n-1\}$, denote by $B_{i}$ the set of faulty nodes with the minimum cardinality to damage all $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{i}$. For some $r \in N_{k-1}$ and every $i \in\{m-1, m, \ldots, n-1\}$, we denote by $A_{i}(r)$ the set $\left\{X^{n-1-i} r X^{i-i_{2}-1} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\cdots<i_{3}<i_{2} \leq i-1\right.$ and $\left.a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$, and denote by $B_{i}(r)$ the set of faulty nodes with the minimum cardinality to damage all $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{i}(r)$. Clearly, for every $i \in\{m-1, m, \ldots, n-1\}, \bigcup_{r=0}^{k-1} B_{i}(r)=B_{i}$ and $B_{i}(r) \cap B_{i}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, where $r, r^{\prime} \in N_{k-1}$ and $r \neq r^{\prime}$.

First, we shall find $B_{n-1}(r)$ for every $r \in N_{k-1}$. Denote $A_{n-1}^{\prime}=\left\{X^{n-i_{2}-2} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\ldots\right.$ $<i_{3}<i_{2} \leq n-2$ and $\left.a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. Note that $A_{n-1}^{\prime}$ is the set of all the $Q_{(n-1)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n-1}^{k}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a set $B_{n-1}^{\prime}$ of faulty nodes to damage all the $Q_{(n-1)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n-1}^{k}$
with $\left|B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right|=f(n-1, m-1) \leq\binom{ n-2}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-2}$. Thus the faulty nodes in $B_{n-1}^{\prime}$ damage all the $Q_{(n-1)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-1}^{\prime}$. Let $B_{n-1}^{*}(r)=\left\{r u_{n-2} u_{n-3} \ldots u_{0} \in V\left(Q_{n}^{k}\right): u_{n-2} u_{n-3} \ldots u_{0} \in B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a set of faulty nodes. Then $\left|B_{n-1}^{*}(r)\right|=\left|B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right|$. Recall that $A_{n-1}(r)=\left\{r X^{n-i_{2}-2} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\cdots<i_{3}<i_{2} \leq n-2\right.$ and $\left.a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. The faulty nodes in $B_{n-1}^{*}(r)$ damage all the $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-1}(r)$. Therefore $\left|B_{n-1}(r)\right| \leq\left|B_{n-1}^{*}(r)\right|=\left|B_{n-1}^{\prime}\right|=f(n-1, m-1) \leq$ $\binom{n-2}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-2}$. Note that for any $r^{\prime} \in N_{k-1} \backslash\{r\},\left|B_{n-1}\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|B_{n-1}(r)\right|$. It follows that $\left|B_{n-1}\right|=\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\left|B_{n-1}(r)\right| \leq$ $k\left(\binom{n-2}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-2}\right)=\binom{n-2}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-1}$.

Next, we shall find $B_{n-2}(r)$ for every $r \in N_{k-1}$. Denote $A_{n-2}^{\prime}=\left\{X^{n-i_{2}-3} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\ldots<\right.$ $i_{3}<i_{2} \leq n-3$ and $\left.a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. Note that $A_{n-2}^{\prime}$ is the set of all the $Q_{(n-2)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n-2}^{k}$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a set $B_{n-2}^{\prime}$ of faulty nodes to damage all the $Q_{(n-2)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $Q_{n-2}^{k}$ with $\left|B_{n-2}^{\prime}\right|=f(n-2, m-1) \leq$ $\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-4}{m-2} k^{m-2}$. Thus the faulty nodes in $B_{n-2}^{\prime}$ damage all the $Q_{(n-2)-(m-1)}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-2}^{\prime}$. Given an integer $a \in N_{k-1}$, let $B_{n-2}^{*}(r)=\left\{a r u_{n-3} u_{n-4} \ldots u_{0} \in V\left(Q_{n}^{k}\right): u_{n-3} u_{n-4} \ldots u_{0} \in B_{n-2}^{\prime}\right\}$ be a set of faulty nodes. Then $\left|B_{n-2}^{*}(r)\right|=\left|B_{n-2}^{\prime}\right|$. Recall that $A_{n-2}(r)=\left\{X r X^{n-i_{2}-3} a_{i_{2}} X^{i_{2}-i_{3}-1} a_{i_{3}} \ldots a_{i_{m}} X^{i_{m}}: 0 \leq i_{m}<\cdots<i_{3}<i_{2} \leq n-3\right.$ and $\left.a_{i_{2}}, a_{i_{3}}, \ldots, a_{i_{m}} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. The faulty nodes in $B_{n-2}^{*}(r)$ damage all the $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{n-2}(r)$. Therefore $\left|B_{n-2}(r)\right| \leq\left|B_{n-2}^{*}(r)\right|=\left|B_{n-2}^{\prime}\right|=f(n-2, m-1) \leq$ $\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-4}{m-2} k^{m-2}$. Note that for any $r^{\prime} \in N_{k-1} \backslash\{r\},\left|B_{n-2}\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|B_{n-2}(r)\right|$. It follows that $\left|B_{n-2}\right|=\sum_{r=0}^{k-1}\left|B_{n-2}(r)\right| \leq$ $k\left(\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-1}-\binom{n-4}{m-2} k^{m-2}\right)=\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{n-4}{m-2} k^{m-1}$.

We proceed in a similar way until we get $\left|B_{m}\right| \leq\binom{ m-1}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{m-2}{m-2} k^{m-1}$.
Now, we consider the remaining $A_{m-1}=\left\{X^{n-m} a_{m-1} a_{m-2} \ldots a_{0}: a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-2}, a_{m-1} \in N_{k-1}\right\}$. There are $k^{m}$ disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{m-1}$. By making a node faulty in each of the $k^{m}$ disjoint $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ 's in $A_{m-1}$, we have $\left|B_{m-1}\right|=k^{m}=\binom{m-2}{m-2} k^{m}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(n, m)= & \left|B_{n-1}\right|+\left|B_{n-2}\right|+\cdots+\left|B_{m}\right|+\left|B_{m-1}\right| \\
\leq & \binom{n-2}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m-1}+\binom{n-3}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{n-4}{m-2} k^{m-1} \\
& +\ldots+\binom{m-1}{m-2} k^{m}-\binom{m-2}{m-2} k^{m-1}+\binom{m-2}{m-2} k^{m} \\
= & \left(\binom{n-2}{m-2}+\binom{n-3}{m-2}+\cdots+\binom{m-2}{m-2}\right) k^{m} \\
& -\left(\binom{n-3}{m-2}+\binom{n-4}{m-2}+\cdots+\binom{m-2}{m-2}\right) k^{m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 5, $f(n, m) \leq\binom{ n-1}{m-1} k^{m}-\binom{n-2}{m-1} k^{m-1}$. The proof is complete.

## 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate $f(n, m)$, the minimum number of faulty nodes which make every ( $n-m$ )-dimensional subcube $Q_{n-m}^{k}$ faulty in a $k$-ary $n$-cube $Q_{n}^{k}$ under node-failure models. We present the lower and upper bounds on $f(n, m)$, and determine the exact value of $f(n, m)$ for some special cases. The results can be used in the reliability analysis of the subnetworks in $k$-ary $n$-cubes. The determination of the exact value of $f(n, m)$ remains an open problem for the general case.
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